

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



DATE MAILED: 06/03/2002

9/

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/049,161	03/26/1998	GARY SEDMAN CHISHOLM	002240.P015D	5182
75	90 06/03/2002			
MARIA MCCORMAK SORBINO BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR AND ZAFMAN 12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD			EXAMINER	
			HERNANDEZ, OLGA	
7TH FLOOR LOS ANGELES, CA 90025			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		3661	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/049,161	CHISHOLM ET AL.					
, to the state of	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Olga Hernandez	3661	l				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 17 May 2002 FAILS TO PLACE THE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to av final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	roid abandonment of this applically a timely filed amendment which it with appeal fee); or (3) a time	ation. A proper repl h places the applica	y to a ition in				
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]							
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire learned on the control of the contro	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TO date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the main attention and the corresponding amount of the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the main attention.	g date of the final rejecting HE FINAL REJECTION.  R 1.136(a) and the approper of the fee. The appropriation of the fee. The appropriation is the final originally set in the final	on. See MPEP opriate extension ropriate extension Office action; or				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:							
(a)  they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (	see NOTE below);					
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);						
<ul><li>(c)  they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>	n better form for appeal by mate	erially reducing or sir	nplifying the				
(d)  they present additional claims without cancell NOTE:	ng a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claim	S.				
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejecti	on(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a so	eparate, timely filed	amendment				
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.							
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.							
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.							
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected:							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).							
10. Other:	41,	Willel L					
	SUPER	LIAM A. CUCHLINSKI, VISORY PATENT EXA HNOLOGY CENTER 3	MINER				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

**1**00



Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: first the office did not receive a copy of the post card, the office receive a copy with a black box on it. second, the response received by the office was an official response because it is signed by the applicant..